## Standard #4 Measurement and Analysis of Student Learning and Performance Use this table to supply data for Criterion 4.2. (Figure 4.2 in self-study) Performance Indicator **Definition** A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional 1. Student Learning performance, licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Results Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work Indirect - Assessing indicators other than student work such as getting feedback from the student or other persons who may provide relevant information. Formative – An assessment conducted during the student's education. Summative – An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education. Internal – An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit. External – An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. Comparative - Compare results between classes, between online and on ground classes, Between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable data. **Analysis of Results** Action Taken or Improvement made **Performance Measure** What is your measurement **Current Results Analysis of Results Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends** (3-5 data points preferred) instrument or process? What are your current What did you learn from the results? What did you improve or what is your next step? Measurable goal Do not use grades. results? What is your goal? (Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative (1) Peregrine Peregrine exams administered at the Peirce students, as compared to For 2015-2016, Peregrin showed evidence of With the revision to the learning outcomes which were Petros College FY 1516 8US450 Peregrine CPC Results Business Administration Students Only conclusion of BUS450. The test assesses students at ACBSP Region 2, scored students aquiring knowledge that was nplemented in the 2016-2017 year, plus budgetary Match or exceed Peregrine student proficiency in 17 content areas. higher 14 of the 17 content areas consistent with content areas within the exam. constraints, considerations must be examined regarding the during the 2015-2016 assessment Areas where Peirce students' scores were elevancy and cost-efficiency of the Peregrin exams. scores as compared to ACBSP Institutions period. reported lower then ACBSP Region 2 students Despite the apparent success of Peirce students when were Economics (Microeconomics), Information Management Systems, and Legal compared to other ACBSP Region 2 students, the alignment of Environment of Business. However, results the exam content is not explicit. As such, in 2016-2017, the indicate our students were only one point business division completed a mapping strategy which below. On the contraty, four content areas examines the alignment of the institutional learning outcomes, indicated scores that exceeded ACBSP by four program learning outcomes, and course learning outcomes. (4) points; one content area score that While this mapping strategy may not provide external exceeded ACBSP by seven (7) points, and one validation assessment, it provides an opportunity to ensure content areas scrore that exceeded ACBSP by holistc alignment while evaluating other external tools for 10 points. comparative analysis. Petrce College FY 1415 BUS450 Peregrine CPC Results Business Administration Students Only

(2) Delta Mu Delta  This is a continuation of a long-term goal, which focuses on	DMD is an external organization which determines Business Administration and Accounting student eligibility as students who have completed 60 or more credits,	· ·	DMD exists to "foster the well-being of its individual members and the business community through life-time membership".  Members benefit from a lifetime recognition	Using the external measure of DMD eligibility, and the notion that cost is prohibitive to membership, we are exploring the potential of establishing a DMD Scholarship fund to help defray the cost in 2018. In addition, DMD announced an increase in		Delta Mu Delta –	Eligibility/Induc	tion Conv	ersion/	
increasing membership and	and have a GPA of 3.3. Students who	fee and attended the induction	for outstanding academic achievement, but	membership fee effective 2018 from \$50 to \$65 (plus \$10 for		#eligible	# induct	ed	% indu	cted
eligibility for Delta Mu Delta	meet the criteria are eligible for induction		also have opportunities for networking.	cord and pin), so this will be even more relevant. However,	2015	32	18		569	
(DMD).	into the Delta Mu Delta (DMD) National		Additionally, members have an opportunity for	while DMD is an added value to students, the true focus has	2016	65	14		229	
	Honor Society.		scholarships, and considering 77% of our	shifted to communicating to students the opportunities	2010	03	14		22/	70
			students receive Federal financial aid, and 73%	afforded by qualifying for membership.						
			are deemed low income, membership could							
			have financial impact on degree completion as	Furthermore, as means to help students meet the eligibility						
			well.	requirements, and the opportunity to serve leadership and						
			At Baires Callege was signed to BAAD	volunteer roles at the College, the <u>business division collaborats</u>						
			At Peirce College, we view the DMD	with the Advising department and Walker Center for Academic						
			opportunity as an added benefit to help increase degree persistence. DMD members	Excellence on offering programming to students geared toward development of skills needed to meet the eligibility criteria of						
			volunteer to assist at College events such as	DMD. The added support and guidance may help students						
			_	with eligibility and ultimately, degree completion.						
			Fair, the Business Conference.	g.s, s s						
				Ultimately, by giving students the opportunity to build						
			Retaining DMD eligibility (and subsequent	leadership skills and gain experience outside the classroom,						
			membership) allows students to capitalize on	they are improving the skill set desired by employers upon						
			leadership skills and engage with various	graduation.						
			stakeholders outside the classroom.							
(3) Learning Outcomes	Summative assessment was conducted to	Poirce set the har for each of its six	While this was a cross-College assessment the	Next steps on the program level include data collection and						
Assessment	evaluate the Peirce Institutional Learning		Student Learning Outcomes Assessment	assessment of Program Learning Outcome (PLO) number 3 in						
Assessment	Outcome (ILO): Communicate clearly and		Committee (SLOAC) was able to extract the	2018-2019. See the Business Division's Assessment Cycle						
Assessment of written	effectively both orally and in writing. For	1.	results for each academic division. Results of	Schedule below.						
communication for students	the purposes of the assessment exercise,		business and accounting students closely				nstitutional vs Busine			4
graduating with a Bachelor's	only written communication was	below.	mirrored institutional results.	PLO 3 = apply appropriate research methods to the analysis of		Student Group % Proficient Business	CPW CDEV 66% 53%	GDC 59%		CSM
degree in 2015-2016.	examined.			business methods and communicate recommendations.		% Proficient Peirce Total	66% 55%	59%		45% 48%
		CPW (Content of and Purpose for	The content development, genre and	Precise methodology has not yet been set for the upcoming		0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		77.07		
	Writing samples were identified for	Writing)	disciplinary conventions, and sources of	cycle of PLO assessment. Previous cycles focused on		Busine	ss Scores per Crite	rion		
	students graduating with a BS degree in		evidence criteria cluster in the mid-50 percent	assessment of wrtiting in associate and bachelor's level		Profi	cient/NOT Proficie	ent		
	in 2015-2016. Internal criteria required	CDEV (Content Development)	range proficiency-wise. Context and purpose	capstone courses.		100%			_	
	the writing was produced in the final yea		of writing is the bright spot, significantly higher			79027				
	before graduation. All full-time faculty participated as evaluators.	GDC (Genre and Disciplinary	at 66% proficient. Given that proficiency in this area is a precondition to any further success in			80%	\$375	Sen	495	
	pai licipateu as evaluators.	Conventions)	any of the subsequent stages of writing, this is			60%				
	The American Association of Colleges and	SEV (Sources and Evidence)	certainly a good spot for headway, although			Anh				
	Universities' VALUE (Valid Assessment of		the results seen there are still short of the 75%			40%			100	
	Learning in Undergraduate Education)	CSM (Control of Syntax and	goal.			20%	277	100		-
	written communication rubric for this	Mechanics)				m				
	assessment.		The grammar criterion (control of syntax and			CPW	CDEV GDC	SEV	CSM	
			mechanics) is the low point. Given that			(125))231			1.7300	
			grammar and mechanics are more				■NOT Proficient ■ P	tinacit		
			foundational and involve higher order							
			cognition to a lesser degree than the other							
			categories in general, this result may seem							
			surprising. Usage flaws, however, may be							
			more salient, in the sense that they are							
			obvious and difficult to miss than shortcomings							

			in the other criteria, which are likely more ambient and cumulative in their impact. Still, when reporting on and discussing the results of this assessment at a faculty assembly on February 24th, 2017, the faculty collectively expressed little surprise at this outcome, and a collective consensus that efforts to improve student grammar and syntax need to be ongoing.											
(4) Assessing the Assessment	Direct assessment of learning outcomes	A new assessment cycle will begin	Analysis of the previous five-year assessment	Improvements undertaken since 2015 include:			Δ	ssessmer	nt Cycle S	chedule				
		in the 2017-2018 academic year.	cycle has resulted in revised program student		PLO	2017-18					2022-23	2022-24	2022-25	2022-26
Revise and update Program Learning Outcomes Assessment cycle.	Program Learning Outcomes Assessment		learning outcomes, for better alignment with institutional outcomes and industry needs.	- A revision of every course within the Business Administration and Accounting programs (2015-2016) for alignment with new Program Learning Outcomes and the new Peirce Fit delivery	Apply business principles including accounting, finance, management, and marketing to business situations	Collect data	Collect data F		implement actions for	Follow-up assessment (impact data) Report (6/30)		Collect data		Implement actions for improvement
	each ILO and PLO was assessed on the		Additionally, the process itself has been revised to allow for a longer data collection and analysis period for each outcome.	model - Re-mapping of Program Learning Outcomes (2016-2017)	2 integrate information technology proficiently in the analysis of proteins and the development of adultions for business allustions	Collect data	Collect data F		implement actions for	Follow-up assessment (impact data) Report (5/30		Collect data		inglement actions for improvement
		program to effect more immediate changes/improvments.		- Implementation of the new Program Learning Outcomes Assessment timeline (2017-2018 onward)	Apply appropriate research methods to the analysis of business asses and communicate recommendations.		Collect data C	ollect data			(impact date)		Collect data	Report (5/30)
					4. Work in teams to complete projects and solve problems		c	olect data	Collect data	Report (6/38	Implement actions for improvement	(impact data)	Collect data	Collect data
					<ol> <li>Assess othical issues in economic competition and organizational management; make recommendations for resolutions</li> </ol>				Collect data	Collect data			(impact data)	
					6. Apply principles fundamental to the discipline of a chosen Business Administration conceptration					Collect data	Collect data		implement actions for	(impact data)

	Standa	ard #6 - Organizatio	nal Performan	ce Results. Table 6	3.1							
	Starias				<del>)</del>							
Complete the follow	wing table. Provide three or four e	xamples, reporting what you	consider to be the m	ost important data. It is not	necessary	/ to prov	vide re	sults for	every	process	s.	
Table 6.1 Stan	dard 6 - Organizational Perfor	mance Results				-				-		
Organizational Effectiveness Results	Organizational effectiveness results exact enrollment patterns, student retention, sinclude: graduation rates, enrollment, i community, or partnerships, retention rates.	amine attainment of organizationa student academic success, and o mprovement in safety, hiring equi	ther characteristics reflect ty, increased use of web-	ting students' performance. based technologies, use of facil	J			•	Ke	y indicate		
			Analysis of Results		<u> </u>							
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-data points preferred)							
Measurable goal	(Indicate length of cycle)	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?								
What is your goal?	1											
Goal 1: Increased enrollment: Peirce	Enrollment and retention Dashboards are produced quarterly and shared with College leadership, faculty, and staff.  The final (June) dashboard of the year is used to	Over the last 5 years, enrollment has decreased in both ACBSP accredited programs: Accounting and Business Administration.	In 2015, analysis of these enrollment trends resulted in a charge from the College President to examine, revise, and update the business	Actions taken to date include: 1) revise associate level business admin program to be more <u>transfer friendly</u> and to <u>facilitate completion</u> -revisions implemented in fall 2015;	Total HC Accounting			sion Enrolli 2014-15 101			CAGR -9.37%	
Enrollment goals are not set per program.	track the College's progress towards its annual goals. The annual goals are part of the overall institutional performance assessment as well as the	Over the same period, enrollment increased in a new business-related leadership program which is designed as degree	programs via intensive review including student, faculty, and employer input and thorough	make recommendations for     Accounting revisions to be implemented	Business Admin	1,160	936	768	625	601	-12.32%	
For practical, comparative purposes, enrollment in the Accounting and Business Administration programs is	assessment of each employee.			in 2017-2018;  3) draft recommendations for Business	Leadership	1	59	95	117	135	166.73%	

implemented in fall 2018.

Goal 2: Improved retention and	Enrollment and retention Dashboard described in	In 2016-17, undergraduate retention began	Challenges to persistence and	Strategies identified and implemented as				
completion.		to increase after some years of decline.	retention facing the College's	part of the 2015-2018 planning cycle				
completion.	Goal I above.	to increase after some years of decline.	primarily adult population have	1.				
Retention goals are not set per academic			been identified and strategies	include.		ear to Date Con	nparisons	
program.			developed to combat them.	1) intensive program review to identify	Inst'l	3-14 2014-15	2015-16 201	16-17
program.			developed to combat them.	areas for revision and updating	Retention	2014-13	2013-10   201	10-17
			To increase persistence, Peirce	(mentioned in Goal 1 above);	Total 64	.90% 63.40%	63.70% 6	6.20%
			is focusing on reducing	(mentioned in Goal 1 above),		,	,	
			absenteeism facing adult	2) the <u>new Peirce Fit delivery system</u>				
			learners with family and work	designed to increase attendance				
			obligations.	(implemented fall 2016);				
			obligations.	(implemented fail 2010),				
			To increase completion Pairce	3) and new CRM and LMS platforms				
			_	(operational in fall 2017).				
			design and advising methods to	(Operational in fail 2017).				
				Describe of respection initiatives are				
			maximize earned credits and	Results of retention initiatives are				
			financial aid.	discussed below.				
2a: Absenteeism – Peirce Fit Delivery	·	Since the inception of Fit (piloted in 2015	Findings to date are positive.	The Student Services Division, which				
	session. A Peirce Fit team monitors findings and	and fully implemented in 2016), overall	Course-specific data will help	oversees Admissions and Advising, has		- 1		
In Fit, students may choose on a week to	reports out to the College.	absenteeism has decreased. Business	identify courses requiring	implemented onboarding orientations			iteeism	
week basis whether to attend on campus		courses show a smaller percent of	additional attention.	specific to Fit to help students understand	Year	Rate		
or online. Fit is applied across all		absenteeism compared to courses at large.		its benefits.	2015		15.98%	
programs and most courses.					2016		11.11%	
				Faculty receive instruction and share	2017		10.42%	
Rationale: increase flexibility for adult				findings annually on Fit delivery best	C	-4-1		
learners and address rising absenteeism.				practices. Faculty focus groups are	Grand T		11.88%	
				scheduled for 2017-2018.	Business	Total	8.00%	
				Long term assessment will look at				
				improved time to degree completion and				
				retention rates.				
2b: Time to Degree Completion	Time to Degree Completion is monitored and	Some improvement in time to degree	With multiple retention and	Actions underway are described under				
		completion is shown in the evidence charts,	•	Goal 2.	Time	to Degree Com	pletion	
	Department and is reviewed by faculty chairs and	highlighted in green.	underway, it is difficult to		<b>Transfer Credits</b>		2015-16	2016-17
	the VPAA as part of annual program review.		attribute improvement to a	Additional actions will include review and		Avg. Months A		
			specific initiative. Most of the	possible revision of Accounting and	All Peirce AS	26.60	29.07	27.79
			current retention activities	Business Admin bachelor's programs and				
			commenced in 2015-2016 so	assessment of the implementation of the	AS in Business Admin	28.79	32.42	29.88
			the data shown is in the nature	new CRM and LMS platforms in fall 2017.	All Peirce BS	34.72	29.47	31.41
			of benchmarks.	inch chiri and Livis platforms in fair 2017.	BS in Business Admin	32.91	34.23	31.51
					<b>NO Transfer Credits</b>	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
						Avg. Months A	vg. Months A	Avg. Months
					All Peirce AS	33.81	36.96	32.71
					AS in Business Admin	33.06	38.87	28.52
					All Peirce BS	50.55	57.67	37.12
					BS in Business Admin	53.00	57.27	46.80
	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				

2c: New LMS (Canvas)	High Engagement Approach to Teaching (HEAT) –	_	Results show improvement in	Monitoring will continue using the						
	end of course survey questions. See Standard 3 for	4.39 which has been achieved in Fall 2017.	the most pertinent LMS-related	Customer Service Survey (students),						•
Peirce introduced a new LMS in Fall	additional information.		question as well as in overall	annual HEAT analysis, and Faculty	F	IEAT Techno				
2017. Under the previous LMS (10+		Results show improvement overall and in	satisfaction.	Satisfaction.		2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	Fall 2017
years old), students and faculty were	Usually, the HEAT score is reported and assessed	technology-specific questions. For			Effective use of					
faced with aging technology. New	annually. To monitor any effect of the new LMS	evidence, we show results for the most		In addition to training before and during	electronic learning					
technology is expected to facilitate	introduction in Fall 2017, Peirce created a mid-year	directly related question and the overall		the Canvas rollout, a week-long online	environment	4.36	4.38	4.4	4.4	4.41
student/faculty engagement and	report.	average.		workshop is scheduled for Spring 2018	Overall Average	4.34	4.35	4.37	4.38	4.39
satisfaction.				entitled: How to Design and Develop a		<del>.</del>				•
				Course in Canvas.						